



ESRA Italian Chapter

PRESIDENTE DEL CONGRESSO Luciano Calderone





PALERMO 5-7 OttobreCONGRESSOXXVIIINAZIONALE



Angel catheter non-pharmacological prevention of thromboembolism Sicilian experience



Antonio Iacono Direttore Trauma Center AOR Villa Sofia - Cervello The Surgeon General's Call to Action to Prevent Deep Vein Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism

2008



National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Issue date: January 2010

Venous thromboembolism: reducing the risk

Reducing the risk of venous thromboembolism (deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism) in patients admitted to hospital

This guideline updates NICE clinical guideline 46 and replaces it

NICE clinical guideline 92 Developed by the National Collaborating Centre for Acute and Chronic Conditions

*VTE: Magnitude of the Problem



* Tapson VF> N Engl J Med 2008; 358:1037-1052 **Berlot G., Journal of Critical Care; 2011:26, 28 -33

The Critically Ill Patient: "The last frontier for thromboprophylaxis"

Highest risk for PE and Complications of Anticoagulation

<u>Admissions</u> J D С О Critical

The highest risk patient are the ones admitted to the ICU.

- Neurosurgery
- Spinal Cord Injury
- Major Severe Trauma
- MICU patients with PE or DVT
- MICU patients with severe sepsis, and MOSF





- - to the ICU but used in

Rates of major bleeding bn in ICU patients may be as high as 20%

> Risk increased due to conditions such as surgery, coagulopathy, renal insufficiency, sepsis, liver disease



In non ICU patients approximately, 8% with VTE have a major bleeding within 30 days.

*The Critically III Patient: ACCP Guidelines

High Thrombosis Risk

- * Anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis with
 - *LMWH,
 - *Regular Heparin
 - *Fondaparinux
- * Grade 1: Strong Recommendation

High Bleeding Risk

- * Against anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis
 - * Grade 1B: Strong Recommendation
- * Optimal use of mechanical thromboprophylaxis rather than no mechanical thromboprophylaxis.
 - * Grade 2C: Weak Recommendation



CHEST 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e195S-e226S

*The PROTECT Study

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Dalteparin versus Unfractionated Heparin in Critically Ill Patients

The PROTECT Investigators for the Canadian Critical Care Trials Group and the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society Clinical Trials Group

Exclusion Criteria

- Major Trauma
- Neurosurgery or Orthopedic Surgery
- Need for therapeutic anticoagulation
- Heparin administration in the last 3 days
- Contraindication to heparin
- Life support limitation
- Pregnancy

N ENGLJ MED 364;14 NEJM.ORG APRIL 7, 2011

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

The trial was conducted in 67 ICUs in academic and community hospitals in Canada, Australia, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, the United States, and the United Kingdom. Recruitment began in May 2006 and, as projected, was completed in 4 years. The trial protocol is available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.⁷

PATIENTS

We enrolled patients who were at least 18 years of age, weighed at least 45 kg, and were expected to remain in the ICU for at least 3 days. Exclusion criteria were major trauma, neurosurgery or orthopedic surgery, need for therapeutic anticoagulation, heparin administration in the ICU for at least 3 days, contraindication to heparin or blood products, pregnancy, life-support limitation, or enrollment in a related trial. Research coordinators obtained written informed consent from all patients or their designated surrogates.

Venous Thromboembolic Outcomes.

Table 3. Venous Thromboembolic Outcomes.									
Outcome		Intention-to-Tr	eat Analysis			As-Treated Analysis			
	Dalteparin (N=1873)	Unfractionated Heparin (N=1873)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	Dalteparin (N=1827)	Unfractionated Heparin (N=1832)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
	no.	(%)			no. (%)				
Deep-vein thrombosis									
Proximal	96 (5.1)	109 (5.8)	0.92 (0.68–1.23)	0.57	94 (5.1)	108 (5.9)	0.91 (0.68-1.23)	0.54	
Any	138 (7.4)	161 (8.6)	0.93 (0.72–1.19)	0.54	135 (7.4)	160 (8.7)	0.92 (0.72–1.19)	0.54	
Pulmonary embolism									
Any	24 (1.3)	43 (2.3)	0.51 (0.30-0.88)	0.01	22 (1.2)	42 (2.3)	0.48 (0.27-0.84)	0.01	
Possible	1 (<0.1)	4 (0.2)			1 1)	4 (0.2)			
Probable	5 (0.3)	11 (0.6)			4 (0.2)	10 (0.5)		1	
Definite	(1.0)	25)			7 (0.9)	28 (1.5)			
Definite or probable	23 (1.2)	39 (2.1)	0.53 (0.30-0.92)	0.02	21 (1.1)	38 (2.1)	0.49 (0.28-0.88)	0.02	
Any venous thromboembolism	154 (8.2)	186 (9.9)	0.87 (0.69–1.10)	0.24	150 (8.2)	184 (10.0)	0.87 (0.69–1.10)	0.24	
Venous thromboembolism or death	530 (28.3)	589 (31.4)	0.89 (0.79–1.01)	0.07	511 (28.0)	575 (31.4)	0.89 (0.78–1.004)	0.06	

The PROTECT Investigators for the Canadian Critical Care Trials Group and the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society Clinical Trials Group. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1305-1314.



Venous Thromboembolic Outcomes.

Table 4. Other Outcomes.*									
Outcome		Intention-to-Treat Analysis				As-Treated Analysis			
	Dalteparin (N=1873) no. (Unfractionated Heparin (N=1873)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	Dalteparin (N = 1827) no.	Unfractionated Heparin (N=1832)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value	
Bleeding									
Major	103 (5.5)	105 (5.6)	1.00 (0.75–1.34)	0.98	100 (5.5)	105 (5.7)	0.98 (0.73–1.31)	0.88	
Any	244 (13.0)	247 (13.2)	1.01 (0.84–1.21)	0.93	236 (12.9)	247 (13.5)	0.98 (0.81-1.18)	0.83	
Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia	5 (0.3)	12 (0.6)	0.47 (0.16–1.35)	0.16	5 (0.3)	12 (0.7)	0.47 (0.16-1.37)	0.17	
Death									
In intensive care unit	284 (15.2)	304 (16.2)	0.97 (0.82–1.15)	0.71	268 (14.7)	293 (16.0)	0.95 (0.79–1.13)	0.53	
In hospital	414 (22.1)	459 (24.5)	0.92 (0.80–1.05)	0.21	396 (21.7)	446 (24.3)	0.90 (0.78–1.04)	0.15	
	median (interqu	median (interquartile range) median (interquartile range)							
No. of days of invasive mechanical ventilation	6 (3–12)	6 (3–12)	NA	0.49†	6 (3–12)	6 (3–13)	NA	0.43†	
No. of days in intensive care unit	9 (6–15)	9 (6–16)	NA	0.26†	9 (6–16)	10 (6–16)	NA	0.18†	
No. of days in hospital	21.5 (13–39)	21 (13-41)	NA	0.51†	22 (13–39)	21 (13–41)	NA	0.47†	

* NA denotes not applicable.

† This P value was calculated with the use of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

The PROTECT Investigators for the Canadian Critical Care Trials Group and the Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society Clinical Trials Group. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1305-1314.



ENEW ENGLAND OURNAL of MEDICINE

9



*SVIR and ACCP

Guidelines for the Use of Retrievable and Convertible Vena Cava Filters: Report from the Society of Interventional Radiology Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference

John A. Kaufman, MD, Thomas B. Kinney, MD, Michael B. Streiff, MD, Ronald F. Sing, DO, Mary C. Proctor, MS, Daniel Becker, MD, MPH, Mark Cipolle, MD, PhD, Anthony J. Comerota, MD, Steven F. Millward, MD, Frederick B. Rogers, MD, David Sacks, MD, and Anthony C. Venbrux, MD

EDITOR'S NOTE: Endorsed by the American Venous Forum.

- Some patients with indications for vena cava filters have limited periods of risk of clinically significant PE and/or contraindication to anticoagulation and may not require permanent protection from PE with a vena cava filter.
- Discontinuation of filtration should only occur when the risk of clinically significant PE is reduced to an acceptable level and is estimated to be less than the risk of leaving the filter in situ

J Vasc interv Radiol 2006;17:449-459

Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines

Michael K. Gould, MD, FCCP; David A. Garcia, MD; Sherry M. Wren, MD; Paul J. Karanicolas, MD, PhD; Juan I. Arcelus, MD, PhD; John A. Heit, MD; and Charles M. Samama, MD, PhD, FCCP

- Filter placement was associated with a 78% reduction in the odds of symptomatic or asymptomatic PE at day 12, but after 2 years, there was an 87% increase in the odds of DVT
- We recommend placement of an IVC filter for patients with VTE or at very high risk of VTE and for whom mechanical and pharmacologic VTE prophylaxis is contraindicated (Grade 1C)
- Grade 1C: Strong recommendation with low quality evidence: Desirable effects outweighs the risk.

CHEST 2012; 141(2)(Suppl):e227S-

* Risks and Benefits of IVC Filters



JVIR

Systematic Review of the Use of Retrievable Inferior Vena Cava Filters

Luis F. Angel, MD, Victor Tapson, MD, Richard E. Galgon, MD, MS, Marcos I. Restrepo, MD, MS, and John Kaufman, MD

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To review the available literature on retrievable inferior vena cava (IVC) filters to examine the effectiveness and risks of these devices.

Materials and Methods: Investigators searched MEDLINE for clinical trials evaluating retrievable filters and reviewed the complications reported to the Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Results: Eligibility criteria were met by 37 studies comprising 6,834 patients. All of the trials had limitations, and no studies were randomized. There were 11 prospective clinical trials; the rest were retrospective studies. Despite the limitations of the evidence, the IVC filters seemed to be effective in preventing pulmonary embolism (PE); the rate of PE after IVC placement was 1.7%. The mean retrieval rate was 34%. Most of the filters became permanent devices. Multiple complications associated with the use of IVC filters were described in the reviewed literature or were reported to the MAUDE database; most of these were associated with long-term use (> 30 days). At the present time, the objective comparison data of different filter designs do not support superiority of any particular design.

Conclusions: In high-risk patients for whom anticoagulation is not feasible, retrievable IVC filters seem to be effective in preventing PE. Long-term complications are a serious concern with the use of these filters. The evidence of the effectiveness and the risks was limited by the small number of prospective studies.

1122207

www.init.com

CONTRACT OF STREET

J Vasc Interv Radiol 2011; 22:1522-1530



*The IXC Filter Bisk:



CONFIDENTIAL



















The Effectiveness of Prophylactic IVC Filters in Trauma Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Figure 2. Forest Plot of Relative Risk (RR) of Pulmonary Embolism (PE) With Use of Inferior Vena Cava (IVC) Filters vs No IVC Filters in Trauma Patients

	IV	C Filters	No I	VC Filters				
Source	No. of PE Events	Total No. of Participants	No. of PE Events	Total No. of Participants	RR (95% CI)		IVC No IVC Filters Filters	Weight, %
Wilson et al, 27 1994	0	15	8	111	0.10 (0.00-29.45)) ←		4.76
Khansarinia et al,³⁰ 1995	0	108	13	216	0.05 (0.00-1.50)			13.14
Rodriguez et al, ³¹ 1996	1	40	14	80	0.14 (0.02-1.05)	-		38.88
Gosin et al,28 1997	0	99	12	249	0.06 (0.00-2.29)			11.23
Gorman et al, 32 2009	1	54	0	58	3.07 (0.13-71.20))		15.64
Rajasekhar et al, ²⁵ 2011	0	18	1	16	0.32 (0.01-6.91)			16.36
All	2	334	48	730	0.20 (0.06-0.70)	-	\diamond	100.00
						0.00033	1.0 BR (95% CI)	2993

Figure 3. Forest Plot of Relative Risk (RR) of Fatal Pulmonary Embolism (PE) With Use of Inferior Vena Cava (IVC) Filters vs No IVC Filters in Trauma Patients

	IVC Filters		No IVC Filters					
Source	No. of Fatal PE Events	Total No. of Participants	No. of Fatal PE Events	Total No. of Participants	RR (95% CI)		IVC No IVC Filters Filters	Weight, %
Wilson et al, 27 1994	0	15	3	111	0.23 (0.00-70.76)	<u> </u>		- 15.23
Khansarinia et al, 30 1995	0	108	9	216	0.07 (0.00-2.16)			42.31
Rodriguez et al, ³¹ 1996	0	40	8	80	0.08 (0.00-2.40)		-	42.46
All	0	163	20	407	0.09 (0.01-0.81)	<	\rightarrow	100.00
						0.00073	1.0 RR (95% CI)	1373

Forest Plot of Relative Risk (RR) of Pulmonary Embolism (PE) and Fatal Pulmonary Embolism

JAMA Surg. 2013;(Nov 6):-.Online First

Copyright © 2012 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.



The **JAMA** Network

The Effectiveness of IVC Filters in Patients with Pulmonary Embolism

In Hospital Mortality in PE patients In Hospital Case Fatality Rate 60% IVC Filter 51% No IVC Filter 50% 40% 33% 30% 18% 20% 15% 8% 7% 6% 10% 2% 0% Stable No Stable Lytic Unstable Unstable No Lytic Lytic Lytic

Am J Med. 2012 May;125(5):478-84

Mortality in Patients with Unstable Pulmonary Embolism

Therapy	No. Treated	Hospital Mortality	
Anticoagulants alone	38000	51%	
Anticoagulants with VCF	23850	33%	
Embolectomy alone	430	58%	
Embolectomy with VCF	520	25%	
Thrombolytic RX alone	14760	18%	
Thrombolytic RX with VCF	6630	7.60%	

Am J Med. 2013 Oct;126(10):851-2

*The Angel™ Catheter

Filter *permanently* attached to CVC ensuring IVC Filter is retrieved







Central Venous Catheter (CVC)

The Angel® Catheter



CONFIDENTIAL





Bedside Filter Positioning



* World Wide Initial Clinical Experience with the Angel® Catheter

*The Angel® Catheter Experience Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patient Population.

44 ± 17 Male sex (%) 39 (65%) Body mass index⁺ 26 ± 6 Length of Hospital Stay (days) 35±43 Main ICU diagnosis before insertion of the Angel® Catheter-- no (%) Severe Trauma 33 (55%) Intra-cerebral Bleeding or Stroke 9 (15%) Venous Thromboembolism 9 (15%) **Active Bleeding** 6 (10%) 1 (1.7%) Not reported 2 (3.3%) Classification of Severe Trauma[&] (n=33) Head or brain injury 22 (66.7%) Multiple > 2 long bone fractures 10 (30.3%) Pelvic Fracture 12 (36.4%) One long bone fracture 6 (18.2%) Severe Trauma with associated PE 3 (9.1%) Thoracic or abdominal trauma 2 (6.1%) Spinal Injury with or without paralysis 2 (6.1%) Classification of VTE Disease before insertion of the Angel® Catheter (n=13) Acute Pulmonary Embolism 9 (15%) Deep Vein Thrombosis 7 (11.7%)

Angel[®] Catheter (n=60)

Characteristic

Burns

Age (yrs)



A device for the prevention of pulmonary embolism in critically ill patients: Results of the European Angel

Catheter Registry

Fabio S. Taccone, MD, PhD, Nicholas Bunker, MD, Carl Waldmann, MA, MB, BChir, Daniel De Backer, MD, PhD, Karim Brohi, MD, Robert G. Jones, MRCP, and Jean-Louis Vincent, MD, PhD, Brussels, Belgium

In this European multicenter registry study, we demonstrate that bedside insertion of the IVC filter YCVC is safe and that the device may be a valid alternative for PE prophylaxis in a high-risk population of severely ill patients with contraindications to anticoagulation therapy. For patients with contraindications to anticoagulation

Characteristic	Angel® Catheter (n=60)
Indications for Angel® Catheter Placement	
Therapeutic Indication (Previous PE)	9(15%)
PE when anticoagulants are contraindicated	8(13.3%)
PE with no contraindication to anticoagulation but with a concomitant DVT undergoing embelectomy	1(1.6%)
Prophylactic Indication (No previous PE)	51(85%)
Critically ill patient at high risk of PE, not receiving medical thromboprophylaxis due to either	46 (65%)
increased risk of bleeding, active bleeding, or heparin induced thrombocytopenia	
Patient is critically ill requiring (≥24 hours) interruption of medical thromboprophylaxis	3 (5%)
PE prevention for a DVT undergoing embelectomy	1(1.6%)
Deep vein thrombosis	1(1.6%)

101 056.



*Duration Between Hospital Admission and Catheter insertion



*Conclusion

*PE is a significant health issue in hospitalized patients.

* The critically ill patient is at the highest risk.

*Prophylactic anticoagulation is the best studied and most recommended prophylactic measure

*It can not be used in all the patients

*In is not universally effective

*Increases the risk of bleeding.

*The Angel® Catheter offers a

* An IVC filter that can be easily placed bedside

- * Is removed in all the patients when the risk of PE is lower and/or anticoagulation can be started.
- * A fully functional triple lumen catheter for volume resuscitation, medicine administration and central venous pressure monitoring.

* Polytrauma of year 22 MOTORCYCLE road accident the patient already intubated presents serious hemodynamic instabilityPAO 60/30 FC 125b/m' arrival at the shock room on 02/08/2020

A protocol is adopted for hemorrhagic states in POLYTRAUMA

TRANEX 1gr IV within three hours of the trauma + FIBRIN 2 GR IV.

ECO fast positive for :



Free effusion in the peritoneum (HEMOPERITONEUM)

DAMAGE CONTROL SURGERY

The patient is sent to S.O. in urgency

At the xiphosubumbilical laparotomy, 400 cc of blood are aspirated and two large lacerated and contused lesions are observed in the liver parenchyma:

Lesion of approximately 6 cm between IV a and V lobe which is frankly bleeding

lesion of approximately 10 cm extending from the 5th to the 7th segment, also bleeding.

Floseal is applied and packing is carried out.

A large retroperitoneal hematoma was also found in the right kidney.

hemodynamically stable patient undergoes total body CT scan with contrast medium






















- The patient will have to undergo urgent stent placement thoracic aorta in the isthmic region
- He underwent non-definitive surgery for traumatic hemorrhagic liver lesions
- Femur and radius fractures

CHIMICA CLINICA AUTOMAT Image Mathematical Science Glicemia 173 > mg% 65 - 110 Azotemia 48 mg% 10 - 50 Sodio 141 mEq/L 135 - 145 Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L 3.5 - 5 Cloro 108 > mEq/L 90 - 106 Calcio 7.7 < mg% 8.4 - 10.2 Creatininemia 1.1 mg% 0.7 - 1.2 Albuminemia 3.3 < g% 6.6 - 8.7 Bilirubina Totale 1.55 > mg% 0.1 - 10 Bilirubina Totale 1.05 > mg% 0.2 - 0.7 Pseudocolinesterasi 3980 < UI/1 5320 - 12920 Lipasi 317 > UI/1 0.100 GGT 126 > UI/1 10 - 40 GPT 123 > UI/1 10 - 40 GPT 126 UI/1 <t< th=""><th>Esame</th><th>Esito</th><th></th><th>U.M.</th><th>Valori Riferimento</th></t<>	Esame	Esito		U.M.	Valori Riferimento
CHIMICA CLINICA AUTOMAT Image 65 - 110 Glicenia 173 > mg% 65 - 110 Azotemia 48 mg% 10 - 50 30 Sodio 141 mEq/L 135 - 145 Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L 3.5 - 5 Cloro 108 > mEq/L 3.5 - 5 Cloro 108 > mEq/L 90 - 106 Creatininemia 1.1 mg% 0.7 - 1.2 Albuminemia 3.3 <					
Glicenia 173 > mg% 65 - 110 Azotemia 48 mg% 10 - 50 Sodio 141 mEq/L 135 - 145 Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L 35 - 15 Cloro 108 > mEq/L 90 - 106 Calcio 7.7 <	CHIMICA CLINICA AUTOMAT				
Azotemia 48 mg% 10 - 50 Sodio 141 mg%L 135 - 145 Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L 3.5 - 5 Cloro 108 > mEq/L 3.5 - 5 Careatininemia 7.7 <	Glicemia	173	>	mg%	65 - 110
Sodio 141 mEq/L $135 - 145$ Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L $35 - 5$ Cloro 108 > mEq/L $90 - 106$ Calcio 7.7 <	Azotemia	48		mg%	10 - 50
Potassio 6.3 > mEq/L $3.5 - 5$ Cloro 108 > mg/L 90 - 106 Calcio 7.7 <	Sodio	141		mEq/L	135 - 145
Cloro 108 > mEq/L 90 - 106 Calcio 7.7 <	Potassio	6.3	>	mEq/L	3.5 - 5
Cataloi 7.7 < mg% 8.4 - 10.2 Creatiniemia 1.1 mg% 0.7 - 1.2 Albuminemia 3.3 <	Cloro	108	>	mEq/L	90 - 106
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Calcio	7.7	<	mg%	8.4 - 10.2
Albuminemia 3.3 < g% 3.4 - 4.8 Proteine Totali 4.6 <	Creatininemia	1.1		mg%	0.7 - 1.2
Proteine Totali 4.6 < g% 6.6 - 8.7 Bilirubina Totale 1.55 > mg% <1.1	Albuminemia	3.3	<	g%	3.4 - 4.8
Bilirubina Totale 1.55 $>$ mg% < 1.1 Bilirubina Diretta 1.05 $>$ mg% $0.2 - 0.7$ Bilirubina Indiretta 0.5 mg% $0.2 - 0.7$ Pseudocolinesterasi 3980 $<$ $UI/1$ $5320 - 12920$ Lipasi 317 $>$ $UI/1$ $5320 - 12920$ Amilasi 200 $>$ $UI/1$ $13 - 60$ GGT 126 $>$ $UI/1$ $10 - 100$ GGT 126 $>$ $UI/1$ $10 - 40$ GPT 1061 $>$ $UI/1$ $10 - 41$ CPK 1308 $>$ $UI/1$ $230 - 460$ DH 2445 $>$ $UI/1$ $230 - 460$ INR 1.23 1.23 $1.10 - 200$ 1.23 INR 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 PTT 48 sec. $12 - 45$ $12 - 45$	Proteine Totali	4.6	<	g%	6.6 - 8.7
Bilirubina Diretta 1.05 > mg% 00.4 Bilirubina Indiretta 0.5 mg% $0.2 - 0.7$ Pseudocolinesterasi 3980 <	Bilirubina Totale	1.55	>	mg%	< 1.1
Bilirubina Indiretta 0.5 mg% $0.2 - 0.7$ Pseudocolinesterasi 3980 <	Bilirubina Diretta	1.05	>	mg%	0 - 0.4
Pseudocolinesterasi 3980 < UI/I 5320 - 12920 Lipasi 317 > UI/I 13 - 60 Amilasi 200 > UI/I 0 - 100 GGT 126 > UI/I 10 - 71 GOT 1223 > UI/I 10 - 40 GPT 1061 > UI/I 10 - 40 CPK 1308 > UI/I 10 - 41 LDH 2445 > UI/I 230 - 460 COAGULAZIONE	Bilirubina Indiretta	0.5		mg%	0.2 - 0.7
Lipasi 317 > U/I 13 - 60 Amilasi 200 > U/I 0 - 100 GGT 126 > U/I 10 - 71 GOT 1223 > U/I 10 - 40 GPT 1061 > U/I 10 - 41 CPK 1308 > U/I 230 - 460 LDH 2445 > U/I 230 - 460 COAGULAZIONE 1.23 Indicazioni:- INR 1.23 Indicazioni:- INR 48 sec. 12 - 45	Pseudocolinesterasi	3980	<	UI/l	5320 - 12920
Amilasi 200 > UI/I 0 - 100 GGT 126 > UI/I 10 - 71 GOT 1223 > UI/I 10 - 40 GPT 1061 > UI/I 10 - 41 CPK 1308 > UI/I 230 - 460 LDH 2445 > UI/I 230 - 460 COAGULAZIONE Attività Protrombina 52 <	Lipasi	317	>	UI/l	13 - 60
GGT 126 > UI/1 10 - 71 GOT 1223 > UI/1 10 - 40 GPT 1061 > UI/1 10 - 41 CPK 1308 > UI/1 230 - 460 LDH 2445 > UI/1 230 - 460 COAGULAZIONE Attività Protrombina 52 % 70 - 120 INR 1.23 Indicazioni:- rombona 52 % 70 - 120 INR 1.23 Indicazioni:- PTT 48 sec. 12 - 45	Amilasi	200	>	UI/l	0 - 100
GGT 126 > UI/I 10 - 71 GOT 1223 > UI/I 10 - 40 GPT 1061 > UI/I <190					
GOT1223>UI/110 - 40GPT1061>UI/110 - 41CPK1308>UI/1 < 190 LDH2445>UI/1 $230 - 460$ COAGULAZIONEAttività Protrombina52<	GGT	126	>	UI/l	10 - 71
GPT1061>UI/I10 - 41CPK1308>UI/I<190	GOT	1223	>	UI/l	10 - 40
CPK1308>UI/1<190LDH2445>UI/1230 - 460COAGULAZIONE </td <td>GPT</td> <td>1061</td> <td>></td> <td>UI/1</td> <td>10 - 41</td>	GPT	1061	>	UI/1	10 - 41
LDH2445>UV/230 - 460COAGULAZIONEAttività Protrombina52<	СРК	1308	>	UI/1	< 190
COAGULAZIONE Sec. 100 100 Attività Protrombina 52 <	LDH	2445	>	UI/1	230 - 460
COAGULAZIONE52<%70 - 120Attività Protrombina52<					
Attività Protrombina52<%70 - 120INR1.231.23Indicazioni:- trombosi ven. profonda- embolia polmonare- malattie arteriose incluse infarto del miocardio valore terapeutico: (2.0 -3-0)- valvole cardiache artif embolie sistemiche recidivanti valore terapeutico: (3.0 - 4.5)PTT48sec.12 - 45	COAGULAZIONE				
INR1.23Indicazioni:- trombosi ven. profonda- embolia polmonare- malattie arteriose incluse infarto del miocardio valore terapeutico: (2.0 -3-0)- valvole cardiache artif embolie sistemiche recidivanti valore terapeutico: (3.0 - 4.5)PTT48sec.12 - 45	Attività Protrombina	52	<	%	70 - 120
PTT48sec.12 - 45	INR	1.23		70	Indicazioni:- trombosi ven
PTT48sec.12 - 45					profonda- embolia
PTT48sec.12 - 45					polmonare- malattie
PTT48sec.12 - 45					arteriose incluse
PTT48sec.12 - 45					infarto del miocardio
PTT48sec.12 - 45					valore terapeutico:
PTT48sec.12 - 45					(2.0 - 3 - 0)- valvole
PTT48sec.12 - 45					cardiache artif
PTT48sec.12 - 45					embolie sistemiche
PTT 48 sec. 12 - 45					recidivanti valore
PTT 48 sec. 12 - 45					terapeutico: (3.0 -
PTT 48 sec. 12-45					4.5)
	PTT	48		sec.	12 - 45
Fibrinogeno 216 mg% 200 - 400	Fibrinogeno	216		mg%	200 - 400
Anti Trombina III 90 % 75 - 125	Anti Trombina III	90		%	75 - 125
D-Dimero 17.6 > mcg/ml 0 - 0.5	D-Dimero	17.6	>	mcg/ml	0 - 0.5

Esame	Esito		U.M.	Valori Riferimento
EMATOLOGIA				
EMOCROMO				
Leucociti	9870		/mmc	4000 - 10000
Eritrociti	2400000	<	/mmc	4200000 - 5800000
Emoglobina	6.8	<	g/dl	13.5 - 17.5
Ematocrito	20.6	<	%	40 - 49
M c v	85.8		fL	80 - 96
M c h	28.3		pg	27 - 31
M c h c	33.0		g/dl	32 - 36
R d w	15.1	>	%	11 - 14
PIASTRINE	72000	<	/mmc	150000 - 400000
Pct	0.08	<	%	0.19 - 0.38
FORMULA LEUCOCITARIA				
Neutrofili %	84.9	>	%	40 - 74
Linfociti %	7.1	<	%	19 - 48
Monociti %	8.0		%	3.4 - 9
Eosinofili%	0.0		%	0 - 7
Basofili %	0.0		%	0 - 2
Neutrofili #	8380	>	/mmc	1900 - 8000
Linfociti #	699	<	/mmc	900 - 5200
Monociti #	790		/mmc	160 - 1000
Eosinofili#	0		/mmc	0 - 800
Basofili #	0		/mmc	0 - 200

Antithrombotic prophylaxis with heparin?



We decide to place a central venous catheter with caval filter attached to the left femoral In order to delay heparin therapy as much as possible







I 199 RAV PS DSPEDALE VI

I 459

IOS11/4te Sec:550165

Se:5 +c P: 0.4

29

TC TORACE-ADDOME SUP.-INF. (senza e con contrasto) -Immagini elaborate

PS OSPEDALE VILLA, SOFIA PA

3

550/1

DFOV 26.0 cm STND/SS40 No Filter

60.0/Wbl.Render. kV 120 mA Mod. Rot 0.60s/HE+ 39,4mm/rot 2.5mm 0.984;1/2,50sp Tilt: 0.0 WE:11282W/WN 255 [D] W = 391 L = 473 The patient is not initially treated with heparin therapy a drug-eluting stent is positioned in the isthmic region of the aorta for initial dissection



Stent positioning



On 05/08/2020 the patient underwent liver revision surgery and treated with autologous fibrin glue (Vivostat), synthesis of the right femur fracture (CTF nail) and synthesis of the left radial fracture.

After Two Days

Start antithrombotic prophylaxis therapy with seleparin 4000 IU

On 12/08/2020 angel catheter removal

CAVOGRAMMA



Im: 1/246 Se: 1

254850 10/12/1992 M OSP VILLA SOFIA 270 Cavografia inferiore (e.o.) -Processed: Processed: Processed: Scopia

(Filt.

WL: 128 WW: 256 [D] LAO: 13















* Polytrauma of year 27 road accident Car

arrival at the shock room on 06/07/2021 the patient already intubated presents hemodynamic instability PAO 80/50 FC 115b/m'



PS OSPEDALE VILLA SOFIA PALERMO 13100 TC ENCEFALO(senza contrasto) - TC MASSICCIO FACCIALE (senza cont MDC

R WL: 40 WW: 400 [D] T: 2.5mm L: -268.0mm Ρ

A

Im: 214/351 Se: 10



PS OSPEDALE VILLA SOFIA PALERMO 13100 TC ENCEFALO(senza contrasto) - TC MASSICCIO FACCIALE (senza cont MDC



А

Im: 41/351 Se: 10 R

PS OSPEDALE VILLA SOFIA PALERMO 13100 TC ENCEFALO(senza contrasto) - TC MASSICCIO FACCIALE (senza cont PARENCHIMA

C

Α

WL: -450 WW: 1600 [D] T: 0.6mm L: -215.4mm



PS OSPEDALE VILLA SOFIA PALERMO 13165 TC TORACE-ADDOME SUP.-INF. (senza e con contrasto) -Scout

Г

WL: 100 WW: 800 [D] T: 770.6mm L: -0.0mm Im: 2/2 Se: 1 I 199 RAV PS DSPEDALE VI

I 459

IOS11/4te Sec:550165

Se:5 +c P: 0.4

29

TC TORACE-ADDOME SUP.-INF. (senza e con contrasto) -Immagini elaborate

PS OSPEDALE VILLA, SOFIA PA

3

550/1

DFOV 26.0 cm STND/SS40 No Filter

60.0/Wbl.Render. kV 120 mA Mod. Rot 0.60s/HE+ 39,4mm/rot 2.5mm 0.984;1/2,50sp Tilt: 0.0 WE:11282W/WN 255 [D] W = 391 L = 473












Prof. Salvatore Nicosia Direttore UOC Anestesia-Rianimazione e Terapia Antalgica AZ. Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele Catania

Prof. Vincenzo Monaca Direttore UOC Chirurgia Vascolare AZ. Policlinico Vittorio Emanuele Catania



Obese woman aged 62 affected by endometrial adenocarcinoma Proposed intervention: Total hysterectomy bilateral adnexectomy pelvic lymphadenectomy

Risk factors: Hypertension Diabetes mellitus Genetic thrombophilia (homozygosity for factor II and factor V Leiden mutation

In anamnesis two episodes of left lower limb TVP

It is decided to place a temporary caval filter via Angel catheter with right femoral venous access before surgery

























ARRIVEDERCIE GRAZIE PER L'ATTENZIONE

